



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,578	10/045,578 01/11/2002		Junichi Otsuka	81747.0212	8517	
26021	7590	08/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			. ,	CAO, I	CAO, DIEM K	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2194	2194	
				DATE MAILED: 08/09/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/045,578	OTSUKA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Diem K. Cao	2194						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2005.								
	•							
	,—							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	_							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
,	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
·								
Attachment(s)	A) [] Interview Comme	(PTO 413)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/045,578

Art Unit: 2194

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Claims 1-18 remain in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 7-8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epson et al. (OLE for Retail POS Application Programmer's Guide).
- 4. As to claim 1, Epson teaches a peripheral device connected to a host computer (see diagram on page 11), the host computer running an operating system (Operating system; page 11) and an application capable of controlling the peripheral device (Application; see diagram on page 11), the host computer including a device control system for controlling the peripheral device through the operating system (OPOS Control; pages 11-12), the device control system including a first object (Control object; pages 11-12) providing a device class interface to the application (A Control Object exposes a set of properties, methods and events to an application for its device class; page 12 and see diagram on page 11) and a second object providing an interface for the peripheral device to the first object (A Service object ... with multiple device classes; page 12 and see the diagram on page 11), the second object recording status change data

Application/Control Number: 10/045,578 Page 3

Art Unit: 2194

indicating a change in a device status (StatusUpdataEvent:Report a change in the device's status, the Service Object enqueues events as they occur; page 22 and When the application is ready ... a DataEvent; page 24).

- 5. However, Epson does not explicitly teach a status change data recording unit in the second object. Epson teaches the second object capable of receiving and recording status change data of a device (StatusUpdataEvent:Report a change in the device's status, the Service Object enqueues events as they occur; page 22 and When the application is ready ... a DataEvent; page 24).
- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that there would be functions (unit) to carry out the receiving and recording functionality of the object.
- 7. As to claim 7, Epson teaches the device control system is OLE for Retail POS (The OLE for Retail POS; page 11), the first object is a control object (Control Object or CO; pages 11-12), and the second object is a service object (Service Object or SO; pages 11-12).
- 8. As to claim 8, it is the same as of claim 1 and is rejected under the same ground of rejection.
- 9. As to claim 14, see rejection of claim 7 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,578

Art Unit: 2194

10. Claims 2-6, 9-13, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epson et al. (OLE for Retail POS – Application Programmer's Guide) in view of Gresham (U.S. 6,741,558 B1).

Page 4

- 11. As to claim 2, Epson teaches a receiving unit for receiving status data indicating a device status from the peripheral device (StatusUpdataEvent:Report a change in the device's status, the Service Object enqueues events as they occur; page 22).
- 12. However, Epson does not teach a status change data detection unit for detecting status data that changed as status change data based on the status data received by the receiving unit and previously received status data. Gresham teaches unit for detecting status data that changed as status change data based on the status data received by the receiving unit and previously received status data (col. 7, lines 25-55).
- 13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Epson and Gresham because it provides a method to detect whether an event receive from the device is a status change event.
- As to claim 3, Epson does not explicitly teach a recorded data editor for editing the status change data to status change recording data for recording to the status change recording unit.

  Gresham teaches a recorded data editor for editing the status change data to status change recording data for recording to the status change recording unit (col. 7, lines 31-36).

Application/Control Number: 10/045,578

Art Unit: 2194

15. As to claim 4, Gresham teaches a recorded data evaluation unit for determining, based on

Page 5

predefined recording conditions, whether the status change data detected by the status change

data detection unit is data to be recorded in the status change recording unit (col. 7, lines 36-44).

16. As to claim 5, Epson teaches the status change data includes error status and/or off-line

status data (OPOS S ERROR; page 18 and page 27). Gresham teaches the recording conditions

include information indicating whether the error status and/or off-line status data is to be

recorded (col. 7, lines 36-44).

17. As to claim 6, Gresham teaches a recorded data generating unit for converting the status

change data detected by the status change data detection unit to a text message and adding time

information to the text message to generate the status change recording data (col. 7, lines 33-36

and 48-60).

- 18. As to claims 9-13, see rejections of claims 2-6 above.
- 19. As to claim 15, see rejections of claims 8-14 above.
- 20. As to claim 16, see rejections of claims 1, 2, 4 and 6 above.
- 21. As to claim 17, see rejection of claim 5 above.

22. As to claim 18, see rejection of claim 7 above.

# Response to Arguments

23. Applicant's arguments filed 5/12/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that (1) Epson does not teach "a status change data recording unit in the second object for recording status change data indicating a change in a device status to a status change recording unit" because in Epson, not all changes are reported, while in the instant application, the status change data recording unit has the capability to record all changes of device status, and the status change recording unit of present invention is accessible to the Control Object without the order requirement.

Examiner respectfully traverses the arguments because in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., Specification; page 3, lines 12-17 and page 12, lines 14-20) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). If Applicant believes those features are important of the invention and distinguish over the prior art of record, they should be presented in the claims.

Art Unit: 2194

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diem K. Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-3760. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 5:30AM - 1:00PM, Saturday, 5:30AM - 10:30AM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist at 571-272-2100.

Due to the realignment of WG 2120, effective March 20, 2005, AU 2126 will become AU 2194.

Diem Cao